

AN ILL-POSED PROBLEM FOR AN ABSTRACT BICALORIC EQUATION.

O.M. Egamberdiev

*Associate Professor Namangan Engineering Construction Institute, Namangan,
Republic of Uzbekistan*

Abstract: In article the incorrect task for abstract bicaloric the equation is studied and a stability assessment according to Tikhonov is given.

Keywords: bicaloric, spaces, self-conjugate, linear, unlimited, dense, operator, theorems.

A task. It is required to find a solution to the abstract bicaloric equation

$$K_+^2 u(t) \equiv \left(\frac{d}{dt} + A \right)^2 u(t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < T, \quad (1)$$

satisfying the following conditions:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u|_{t=l_1} &= u(l_1) \\ u|_{t=l_2} &= u(l_2) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2)$$

where $u(t)$ - abstract function with values in Hilbert space H .

A - constant, positive-definite, self-adjoint, linear, unbounded with everywhere dense domain $D(A^2)$ (DCH) operator operating from H in H , and $u(l_1), u(l_2) \in H$.

The validity of the representation is proved.

$$u = u_1 + (t - l_1)u_2.$$

Theorem. If a u_1 and u_2 are solutions of the caloric equation, then the function

$u = u_1 + (t - l_1)u_2$ is a solution to equation (1) and vice versa, for each given abstract bicaloric function there are such functions u_1 and u_2 what

$$u = u_1 + (t - l_1)u_2$$

Proof. 1) If u_1 and u_2 solution of the caloric equation, that is, the solution of the bicaloric equation

$$\begin{aligned} K_+u &= K_+[u_1 + (t - l_1)u_2] = K_+u_1 + u_2 + (t - l_1)\frac{du_2}{dt} + A(t - l_1)u_2 = \\ &= u_2 + (t - l_1)\left(\frac{du_2}{dt} + Au_2\right) = u_2 + (t - l_1) \cdot K_+u_2 = u_2. \end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\frac{du_2}{dt} + Au_2 = 0, \quad \text{to } K_+(u_1 + (t - l_1)u_2) = u_2 \quad \text{T-c } K_+u = u_2.$$

Applying again the operator K_+ , given that $K_+u_2 = K_+K_+u = 0$;

2) If u solution of the bicaloric equation, then there are such caloric functions u_1 , u_2 what $u = u_1 + (t - l_1)u_2$.

To prove this assertion, it suffices to establish the possibility of choice u_2 .

Let's put

$$\begin{aligned} u_2 &= K_+u, \\ u_1 &= u - (t - l_1)u_2. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that

$$K_+[u - (t - l_1)u_2] = 0.$$

Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} K_+u_1 &= K_+[u - (t - l_1)u_2] = K_+u - K_+(t - l_1)u_2 = \\ &= K_+u - u_2 - (t - l_1) \cdot \frac{du_2}{dt} - A \cdot (t - l_1)u_2 = \\ &= K_+u - u_2 - (t - l_1) \cdot \left(\frac{du_2}{dt} - Au_2\right) = K_+u - u_2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

from here

$$K_+ u_1 = 0, \quad K_+ u_2 = 0.$$

The theorem is completely proven.

With the help of a view

$$u = u_1 + (t - l_1)u_2 \quad (3)$$

The solution of problem (1) - (2) can be reduced to solving the following problems:

$$\begin{cases} K_+ u_1 = 0, & (4) \\ u_1|_{t=l_1} = u(l_1) & (5) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} K_+ u_2 = 0, & (6) \\ u_2|_{t=l_2} = u_2(l_2) & (7) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{where } u_2(l_2) = \frac{u(l_1)}{l_2 - l_1} - \frac{u_1(l_2)}{l_2 - l_1}, \quad u_1(l_2) = \|u(0)\|^{\frac{l_1 - l_2}{l_1}} \|u(l_1)\|^{\frac{l_2}{l_1}}$$

a task (4) – (5) $0 < t < l_1$ incorrect in the classical sense, $a \quad l_1 < t < T$ correctly. Problem (4) - (5) will be investigated for conditional correctness according to Tikhonov

Theorem. For any solution of problem (4) - (5), the inequality is true.

$$\|u_1(t)\| \leq \|u(0)\|^{\frac{l_1 - t}{l_1}} \cdot \|u(l_1)\|^{\frac{t}{l_1}}.$$

Proof. Consider the function [1]

$$\varphi(t) = \|u_1(t)\|^2 = (u_1, u_2).$$

Differentiating it, we get

$$\varphi'(t) = 2(u_1', u_1) = 2(Au_1, u_1)$$

$$\varphi''(t) = 2(u_1', u_1) + 2(u_1, u_1'') = 2(Au_1, Au_1) + 2(u_1, A^2 u_1).$$

Since the operator is self-adjoint (*m.e.* $A = A^*$), to $(u_1, A^2 u_1) = (Au_1, Au_1)$ and that means, $\varphi''(t) = 4(Au_1, Au_1)$.

Now consider the function

$$\psi(t) = \ln \varphi(t)$$

Differentiating it, we have

$$\psi''(t) = \frac{1}{\varphi^2(t)} [\varphi''(t) \cdot \varphi^2(t)] = \frac{4}{\varphi^2(t)} [(Au_1, Au_1)(u_1, u_1) - (Au_1, u_1)^2] \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

By virtue of the well-known Bunyakovskii inequality, inequality (8) means that the function $\psi(t)$ turned concave upwards, from which it follows that the function $\psi(t)$ on the segment $[0, l_1]$ does not exceed a linear function that takes the same values at the ends of the segment as $\psi(t)$. From (8) it follows

$$\psi(t) \leq \frac{l_1 - t}{l_1} \psi(0) + \frac{t}{l_1} \psi(l_1) \quad (9)$$

Potentiating inequality (9), we obtain

$$\varphi(t) \leq [\varphi(0)]^{\frac{l_1 - t}{l_1}} \cdot [\varphi(l_1)]^{\frac{t}{l_1}},$$

Where $\|u_1(t)\| \leq \|u(0)\|^{\frac{l_1 - t}{l_1}} \cdot \|u(l_1)\|^{\frac{t}{l_1}}$

A task (6) – (7) $0 < t < l_2$ incorrectly, $a \quad l_2 < t < T$ correct in the classical sense, similarly to problem (4) - (5) it can be examined for conditional correctness according to Tikhonov

Let us prove a theorem characterizing the stability estimate for the solution of the problem

(1) – (2)

Theorem. For any solution of problem (1) – (2), the inequality

$$\|u(t)\|_H \leq \|u(0)\|_{l_1}^{\frac{l_1-t}{l_1}} \|u(l_1)\|_{l_1}^{\frac{t}{l_1}} +$$

$$+(t-l_1) \begin{cases} \frac{1}{l_2-l_1} \left(\|u(l_2)\| + \|u(0)\|_{l_1}^{\frac{l_1-l_2}{l_1}} \|u(l_1)\|_{l_1}^{\frac{l_2}{l_1}} \right)^{\frac{t}{l_2}} \cdot \|u(l_1)\|_{l_1}^{\frac{t-l_1}{l_1}}, & l_1 < t < l_2 \\ \frac{1}{T-l_1} \left(\|u(T)\| + \|u(0)\|_{l_1}^{\frac{l_1-T}{l_1}} \|u(l_1)\|_{l_1}^{\frac{T}{l_1}} \right)^{\frac{T-t}{T}} \cdot \|u(l_2)\|_{l_2}^{\frac{t}{l_2}}, & l_2 \leq t \leq T \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Note that inequality (10) implies the uniqueness of the solution to problem (1)–(2) and the conditional well-posedness of this problem in the class

$$\{u : \|u(0)\| \leq M\}$$

This theorem is proved by the logarithmic convexity method

References:

1. **Лаврентьев М.М.** *Некорректные задачи для дифференциальных уравнений.* Новосибирск: Изд-ва НГУ, 1981, 71 с.
2. **Кабанихин С.И.** *Обратные и некорректные задачи.* Новосибирск. 2009 г.
3. **Эгамбердиев О.М.** *Задача Коши для абстрактного поликалорического уравнения.* ДАН РУз. № 6. 2011, с. 18-20.
4. **Атахаджаев М.А, Эгамбердиев О.М.** *Задача Коши для абстрактного бикалорического уравнения.* Сибирский математический журнал. Т.31, № 4, 1990.