

The phenomenon of contemplation: etymological and philosophical analysis¹**Khudaynazarov Nuraddin Shavkatovich**¹**An independent researcher of Urganch State University, Uzbekistan***Article history:**Received: 26th December., 2021**Accepted: 27th December., 2021**Published: 28th December., 2021*

Abstract: *There is still no clear approach to the social philosophical and epistemological interpretations of thinking in the scientific literature. Therefore, if we look at it in accordance with the mind, knowledge, worldview, our thoughts on this subject become clear.*

Keywords: *contemplation, identification, "Explanatory Dictionary of the Uzbek language", Dictionary of Philosophy.*

Introduction. Scientific research usually begins with the identification of the object (subject) and the basic concepts that apply to it. In our study, the object is scientific and religious thinking, and the basic concept is thinking. The concept of "contemplation" does not occur in other, international languages, such as English or Russian. We find its social and philosophical essence in the works of our great ancestor Alisher Navoi. The great poet, the wise man, writes: "He is the One who does everything I do, the One who knows with contemplation." Here the poet means that man is given thinking, that he is able to do, know, and understand many things with the help of thinking. In another work, the poet states: "Let the people of prophecy do taammu and think carefully." The fourth volume of the "Explanatory Dictionary of the Uzbek language", published in 2008, defines the concept of "thinking" as follows: In short, contemplation is a way of thinking. It is a way of understanding and expressing objective reality through thinking. It is noted that thinking has the following functional features: thinking 1) reflects reality in a generalized way; 2) directly reflects the objective being; 3) the creative activity of man, the product of research; 4) is expressed, understood and conveyed through language.

Methods and references. Philosophical Readings uses a policy of blind review by at least two consultants to evaluate articles accepted for serious consideration. Philosophical Readings promotes special issues on particular topics of special relevance in the philosophical debates. Philosophical Readings occasionally has opportunities for Guest Editors for special issues of the journal. Anyone who has an idea for a special issue and would like that idea to be considered, should contact the Executive editor. Philosophical Readings publishes at least 9 original researches in a calendar year.

In our opinion, consciousness, reason, and worldview also reflect reality in a generalized way, which means that these subjective phenomena should be considered as attributes of thinking. Thought is not a product of thinking in itself, it is manifested through subjective phenomena such as intellect, perception, knowledge, and worldview. Therefore, to say that thinking "directly reflects an objective being" can lead to its identification with all subjective phenomena. The Dictionary of Philosophy defines thinking as "the highest product of a specially structured brain, an active process of perception of the objective world in concepts, discussions, theories, and so on."

This "active process" brings to the fore the subjective phenomena of mind, cognition, knowledge and worldview, which are the ones that stimulate thinking. In the Encyclopedia of Philosophy, thinking is interpreted more broadly. "Thinking is the highest form of objective

perception of the world; the process of reflecting the objective reality in the mind. In the process of consciousness, thoughts, reflections, ideas, hypotheses, etc. are formed in a person, and they are expressed in the mind of a person in the form of concepts, judgments, and conclusions. At the same time, the authors refer to thinking as “the process of social production of people ... Thinking is a product of social development. Like all social phenomena, the way of thinking is determined by social conditions. It exists only in relation to the mode of production, the social structure of society, ideological views and other social relations.” The fact that thinking is a product of social processes proves that it is a subjective reality like consciousness. But the way of thinking and reasoning manifests itself only through reason, perception, knowledge and worldview. It is impossible to think without them. For some reason, these features of thinking are not clearly reflected in the scientific and philosophical literature. It seems that thinking consists of thoughts, reflections and imaginations, and sometimes are realities related to the mind. Such an approach pushes back the originality of reason, rationality, perception, leads to the abstraction of thinking. In our opinion, thinking is the search for ideas based on reason, worldview, rationality. Hence, thinking is, from an etymological point of view, a subjective reality such as the search for thought, reasoning, observation. There is still no clear approach to the social philosophical and epistemological interpretations of thinking in the scientific literature. Therefore, if we look at it in accordance with the mind, knowledge, worldview, our thoughts on this subject become clear.

Depending on the subjects, thinking can be divided into individual, group, national, ethnic, universal. Each of them is an object of independent research. We are interested in the aspect of social character, which is required, first of all, by the nature of social philosophy.

The mind is a factor that unites society and human activity on the basis of common norms and values. Only a society based on reason, perception, and man understands each other as two subjects, realizing that progress can be achieved by supporting each other. Aristotle once wrote, "Some act rationally, and their abilities are also rational." If we interpret the philosopher's concept of "action" in a broad sense ("action is the source of life"), we come to the conclusion that social development is created through the intellect. The great French philosopher Rene Descartes elaborated on the social philosophical aspects of reason and cognition. He sees the mind as a "universal means," "ability," "all-leading," "natural power," "limited quality," "image of material things," "pure intellect." In his view, man's scientific research and intellect should consist of "reliable and unquestionably known" things that they perceive. "No knowledge can be acquired without mental intuition or deduction." At this point, the philosopher refers to experiences based on reason, perception, and supports the knowledge formed through them. "It's not enough to have a good mind, the most important thing is to put it into practice." If we proceed from this postulate of the rationalist philosopher, it is not the existence of thinking, but its application to practice, to action, through reason, perception. Yes, in science, in philosophy, there is an approach to the mind, to perception, to the cognitive abilities of man, as an object, an object, but this does not negate the dynamic nature of rationality. The dynamic nature of the mind is reflected in its transition to practice, to human activity, to its objectification. The real life of man, as if it were outside the realm of his own life, is different from the requirements of existence, which philosophers see as "still in the categories of non-existent existence, purpose, and essence." The category of “non-existent being” is covered in detail in the philosophy of Hegel and Heidegger, and the important point for us is that these categories are noteworthy in that they express how the human mind can perceive being. Being is not an object represented in a category, it is more suited to the concept of "non-existent being", that is, the antinomic. From the point of view of social philosophy, it is not the existence of the mind that is important, but its rational power, its influence on human and social life as a tool, its activity in understanding the "non-existent being." This social

aspect of the mind has turned it, thinking, into a large, unique phenomenon in human life that determines the essence of activity. The study of issues of scientific and religious thought, the disclosure of the dialectical connection between them, should also be seen as an expression of this phenomenon. Then we realize that the mind is not a phenomenon "for itself", "pure intellect", but a phenomenon related to human and social life, and that any change in social existence is manifested as an effect of this phenomenon. Researchers who have defined thinking show that it is related to the mind. Yes, thinking is a product or a manifestation of the processes of consciousness and perception. However, this is not just an appearance, in our opinion, it fully manifests itself when it acquires a social character. This leads to an appeal to the social manifestations of consciousness, consciousness, that is, social consciousness and social relations.

The phenomenon of thinking is the product of its influence on social consciousness and social relations, their expression. The formation of this product consists of various stages. In the social philosophical literature, they refer to the social consciousness as:

- individual, group, national and universal by subject;
- subjective and objective in terms of objects;
- theomythological, philosophical, scientific by type;
- psychologically sensitive, empirical, metaphysical;
- ideological, pedagogical, liberal in terms of educational features;
- views, beliefs, ideals in relation to life goals;
- According to their functional aspects, enlightenment is divided into educational, technological, prognostic, etc.

These classifications and approaches are noteworthy from a scientific point of view, as they allow for a systematic functional analysis of the object. It is well known that structural functional analysis consists of certain systems according to the intrinsic, intrinsic properties of the object, which reveal aspects of the systems that are permanently and dialectically related to each other. If we proceed from the goals and directions of our research, it is expedient to analyze the phenomenon of thinking from a mythotheological, philosophical and scientific point of view. Such an approach allows, firstly, a balanced view of secular knowledge and religious knowledge, and secondly, helps to clarify the methodological aspects of the problem, thus giving a scientific, philosophical and positive direction to the research. This approach is consistent with the "scientific positivism" of O. Kont and G. Sepenser.

Results. From the theophymological point of view, according to modern interpretations, the factors of reason, cognition, are not a priority, they are manifestations of non-vital, fantastic and irrational perception of an objective being. Yes, today scientific and secular knowledge does not recognize theophymological views very much, if applied to them, it imagines them as archaic concepts, an expression of the first manifestations of consciousness, a reflection of irrational experiences. However, in our opinion, the mythotheological imaginations were the product of their time, a real reality for primitive people. According to the well-known ethnographer, culturologist E. Taylor, the philosophical thinking of primitive people was reflected in animistic, totemistic views, and this thinking was important for the next stages of thinking of primitive people as the world, the environment, life. It was a stage of contemplation in which primitive men did not separate themselves from the wider world, they accepted supernatural forces and, as social consciousness was not yet sufficiently developed, fantastic imaginations as realities for themselves. The fact that the first, primitive thinking consisted of non-vital elements was not a tragedy for the people of that time, because with the help of this thinking they understood the world, perceived themselves, entered into relationships. Animistic, totemistic, and anthropomorphic views, as the "primitive philosophy" of

their time, took root in people's minds, imaginations, and relationships, and the whole consciousness was a subjective reality within these fantastic imaginations. It is based on rational experience in life. It is based on rational thinking in religion. It enriches mythological ideas with certain elements of thinking. The history of thought shows that human beings could not remain within the framework of "primitive philosophy" in the process of evolutionary development. Later, religion, like mythological notions, became their real existence, a reflection of their social life.

Conclusion. That is why later in the thinking, especially in philosophy, the prevailing view that subjective views prevail over real life reality and built the whole way of thinking on the traditions of subjectivism. In the new epoch, when religious philosophy became a major current, and reliance on reason, reason, and rationality came into force, B.C. Spinoza, D. Yum, Hegel, V.Solovev, N.Berdyayev, V.Rozanov, P. Supported by Florensky, M. Heidegger, etc. are paradoxes in thinking. In the legacy of these philosophers, mythotheological thinking reached its peak, and their religious and philosophical research allowed not only to preserve the original primitive philosophy, but also to discover new aspects of mental thought.

REFERENCES

1. Алишер Навоий. Ҳикматлар. Тошкент: Ғофур Ғулом номидаги Адабиёт ва санъат нашриёти, 1978. – Б 67. 402 бет
2. Алишер Навоий асарлари тилининг изоҳли луғати. 3 жилд. Тошкент: Фан, 1984. – Б 128. 501 бет
3. Ўзбек тилининг изоҳли луғати. 4 жилд.. Тошкент: Ўзбекистон миллий энциклопедияси. Давлат илмий нашриёти, 2008. – Б 13. 184 бет
4. Маънавият: изоҳли луғати. Тошкент: Ғофур Ғулом номидаги бадиий матбаа нашриёти, 2010. – Б 541-542. 981 бет
5. Фалсафа луғати. Тошкент: Ўзбекистон, 1996. – Б 475. 1201 бет
6. Фалсафа энциклопедияси. Тошкент: Ўзбекистон миллий энциклопедияси. Давлат илмий нашриёти, 2010. – Б 275. 345 бет
7. Аристотель. Сочинения в четырех томах Том 1. Москва: Мисль, 1976. – С. 240. 277 бет
8. Декарт Р. Сочинения в двух томах. Том 1. Москва: Мисль, 1989. – С.79. 654 бет
9. Бахтин М.М. Эстетика словесного творчества. Москва: Мисль, 1979. – С. 109. 411 бет
10. Коршунов А.М., Мантанов В.В. Диалектика социального познания. Москва: Изд. полит литературы, 1988. – С. 267. 382 бет
11. Конт О. Дух позитивной философии. Ростов на Дону, РГУ, 2018. 251 бет
12. Тайлор Э. Первобытная культура. Москва: Политиздат, 1989. – С. 4-7. 281 бет
13. Спиркин А. Происхождение сознания. 2 е изд. Москва: Наука, 2001. – С. 123-126. 731 бет
14. Лопатин Л.М. Аксиомы философии. Избранные статьи. Москва: РОССПЭН, 1996. – С. 5-6. 255 бет
15. Хажиева М. С., Машарифов С. Б. Национальная гордость и толерантность в процессе устойчивого развития независимости // Молодой ученый. – 2013. – №. 4. – С. 331-332.
16. Khajieva M. S., Urazmetov M. T., Akmanova S. A. The Specific Features of Uzbek People's Ethnoculture // Young Scientist USA. – 2014. – С. 159-161.
17. Khadjieva M. S. Processes of tolerance in Uzbekistan and its philosophical factors // Modern philosophic paradigms: interrelation of traditions and innovative approaches. – 2014. – С. 293.
18. Хажиева М. С., Хажиев Р. Б. Роль молодёжи в реализации социальной активности толерантности // Журнал научных публикаций аспирантов и докторантов. – 2015. – №. 2. – С. 30-32.

19. Хаджиева М. С., Аскарлов О. И., Сауров Р. Р. Вопросы толерантности в процессе духовной воспитание молодежи // ФЭн-наука. – 2015. – №. 10. – С. 13-14.
20. Хаджиева М. С., Умарова С. С. Факторы формирования толерантности в национальной сознании // ФЭн-наука. – 2015. – №. 1. – С. 42-44.
21. Хаджиева М. С., Айтбаев М., Сауров Р. Религиозный толерантность в современном Узбекистане // Ученый XXI века. – 2017. – С. 59.
22. Khajiyeva M., Komilov A. The importance and role of sufism ideas in forming youth spirituality // Интернаука. – 2019. – №. 17-2. – С. 42-43.
23. Khajieva M. S. et al. Socio-political, religious, spiritual and educational importance of oriental works // Austrian Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences. – 2016. – №. 1. – С. 98-100.
24. Khajiyeva M. S. et al. Opinions regarding the formation of family institution and child upbringing in “Avesto” // International scientific review of the problems of philosophy, psychology and pedagogy. – 2019. – С. 5-9.
25. Хаджиева М. С., Ерматов С. М. Роль суфизма в развитии педагогической мысли // Педагогическое мастерство. – 2012. – С. 75-76.